

THE ARCTIC OIL SPILL RESPONSE TECHNOLOGY JOINT INDUSTRY PROGRAM

JOSEPH V. MULLIN, PROGRAM MANAGER

May 8, 2014



COLLABORATION AMONG TEN COMPANIES

- International research programme
- Builds upon decades of R&D in arctic oil spill response
- Brings together experts across industry, academia and independent research centres
- Research integrity through technical review
 and public dissemination of results

Six areas of research:

- Dispersants
- Environmental Effects
- In Situ Burning (ISB)
- Mechanical Recovery
- Trajectory Modelling
- Remote Sensing





ACHIEVEMENTS TO DATE

9 reports now completed:

In Situ Burning (ISB)

- State of Knowledge
- Technology Summary and Lessons from Key Experiments
- Status of Regulation in Arctic and Sub-arctic Countries

Dispersants

- Fate of Dispersed Oil Under Ice
- State of Knowledge of Dispersant Testing Under Realistic Conditions
- Status of Regulations and Outreach Opportunities
- Inter-Basin Calibration

Remote Sensing

- Surface Remote Sensing
- Subsea Remote Sensing



Photos: J. Mullin; Transport Canada; DF Dickins



CURRENT RESULT STATUS

- Results to-date demonstrate the potential viability of multiple oil spill response technologies in arctic conditions beyond mechanical recovery – although limitations exist with each of them and more research needs to be done
- The release of three new reports, in addition to the six released in 2013, continues to build a comprehensive picture of arctic oil spill response technologies
- New research phase will see JIP commence laboratory and basin testing of specific technologies



Dispersants

- 1) Fate of dispersed oil under ice
- AIM: To create a numerical modelling tool to predict-the potential for a dispersed oil plume to resurface under the ice
 - SINTEF is the contract for this project
 - Phase 1 is complete and the final report is on JIP website
 - Planning for Phase 2 field work is underway
 - JIP exploring options to collect under-ice turbulence data during cruises in 2014 and 2015





Photo: DFO Canada



Dispersants

- 2) Dispersant testing under realistic conditions
- AIM: To define the operational limits of chemical dispersants and mineral fines in arctic marine waters
 - State of Knowledge, Summary of Existing Regulations, and Inter Basin Calibration tasks are complete and reports on JIP website
 - Weathering protocol and test matrix for laboratory and meso-scale basin experiments is finalized
 - Dispersant effectiveness experiments will be initiated at research facilities in Canada, France and Norway by end of 2Q/2014





Photo: DFO Canada



Remote sensing

AIM: To advance oil spill remote sensing and mapping capabilities to locate oil on, encapsulated in and under ice

- Phase 1 complete is complete and the surface/subsea reports are available on the JIP website
- Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute is the contractor to conduct phase 2 research program
- Phase 2 experiments will be conducted at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) in Hanover, NH



Photo: Transport Canada



6

In situ burning

1) State of knowledge

AIM: To prepare informational materials on all aspects of in situ burning as an arctic oil spill response tool

- This project is complete and three reports are available on JIP website
- State of Knowledge
- Technology Summary and Lessons from Key Experiments
- Summary of Existing Regulations





ISB with 90% efficiency after herding



In situ burning continued

2) Chemical herders

AIM: To advance the knowledge of chemical herder fate, effects and performance to expand the operational utility of in situ burning as an arctic oil spill response tool

- Request for proposal was issued for solicitation
- Tasks include fate and effects studies and research to extend the window-of-opportunity for herder use
- Proposals currently under review by the technical working group and work will commence in 2Q/2014





ISB with 90% efficiency after herding

8



In situ burning continued

3) Aerial ignition systems

AIM: To improve aerial ignition systems for using in situ burning as an arctic oil spill response tool

- Technical working group reviewing/selecting concepts to develop statement of work
- Request for proposals will be issued in 2Q-3Q/2014



Photo: J. Mullin



9

Environmental impacts

AIM: To improve the knowledge base for conducting arctic Net Environmental Benefit Analysis (NEBA)

- The comprehensive Phase 1 review is complete
- Web-based presentation of these materials for use as an education and resource tool for NEBA practitioners, stakeholders and the public in development
- Phase 2 research will address research activities to improve and advance Arctic NEBA's
- Projects initiation meeting will be conducted later this month



Photo: Caspian International Seal Survey



Photo: SINTEF



PRIMARY PROJECT OBJECTIVE

To produce data that will contribute to the expansion of the science base that will be used to develop a Net Environmental Benefit Analysis for the Arctic region



Time









OBJECTIVES OF PROJECT A

- 1. To understand the importance of ecology associated with sea ice and the sea surface micro layer in the light of oil spill scenarios during modelling exercise
- 2. To perform field studies using in situ mesocosm to measure the exposure potential, the sensitivity and resiliency of sea ice and sea surface micro layer







Oil spill modelling



IN SITU MESOCOSMS





OBJECTIVES OF PROJECT B

- 1. To characterize oil weathering in sea ice, sediment and rocky bottom
- 2. To characterize biodegradation processes by identifying microbial communities





OBJECTIVES OF PROJECT C

1. Impact on fish and copepod populations using population models

- Acute effects on populations
- Combined acute and chronic effects on populations









OSR Environmental Consequences Matrix for Dispersant Usage

Ec			Compartment Attributes				Dispersent Not Applied
Compartments		Resiliency	Sensitivity	Exposure Potential	Biodegradation Potential	Dispersant Applied	- Physical Recovery
Amadrae	al Brek	Reproductive potential is relatively low	Sensitive to volatiles	Behavioral avoidance or attracted to slicks	Volatilizes and rapid dilution by winds	Removal of surfaced oil and reduction of volatile exposure	Release of volatiles until oil concentrations are exhausted
(unter with	Neuston,		Generally less sensitive Generally most	Maximum contaminant concentrations avoided by behavioral responses Maximum exposure;	Low potential biodegradation based on lowest surface area to volume ratio exposed to microbial use	Rapid removal of surfaced oil to subsurface	< 10% recovery; lowest surface area/volume ratio of oil reduces microbial degradation efficiency; more oil is transported to convergence zone compartments
'n	larvae & juveniles	potential is high	high sensitive stages	unable to avoid fouling or exposure			
Rinje	utper IDm parken leot Sponlefish	High reproductive potential	Generally among the more sensitive groups	Small potential for exposure to high concentrations of petroleum unless treated by dispersants or OMA	Biodegradation is rapid with the small amount of oil compounds diffused into the water	High surface area to volume increases microbial biodegradation efficiency; exposure to pelagic organisms increased	Oil compounds diffuse into the upper layers of water (~1m) but at relatively small rates; less impact on pelagic species; intermediate to large sized droplets with less surface area to volume than dispersed oil → less efficient biodegradation
	MQ1×	High reproductive potential but concentrated abundances are on pycnoclines	Generally among the more sensitive groups Moderate			Chemically dispersed oil generally remains within the upper	Physical dispersion and diffusion of soluble components do not
-	Ř	Moderate reproductive potential	sensitivity but, some very old age classes (deep water corals), unknown sensitivity	Limited exposure potential for surface oils impacting deep subtidal environments	Limited biodegradation potential for surfaced oils in deep water subtidal environments	10m of water; > 10m are not expected to have significant effects	generally attain these depths at significant effects based concentrations
194415	μĐ	Moderate reproductive potential (may be interfered with by oil contact with spawning or feeding aggregates)	Wider range of sensitivity	Exposure increases when wind and waves introduce oil to shallower subtidal sediments	Limited to moderate biodegradation; smaller surface area to volume ratio's and emulsification	Nearshore habitats have low potential	Potential impacts from unrecovered oil contacting shallow subtidal
Interticid Sands	Irfaual (shand inventorates	Wide range of reproductive potential		Stranding on intertidal sands re-concentrates surface oil and maximizes exposure	Surface of stranded oil may undergo further weathering, minor biodegradation	of impact because of continued dilution and enhanced microbial degradation that occurs from during transport from offshore	Unrecovered oil can strand on the surface of beach sands where it can be recovered
Professor (2005) Bouldes	2. juongsungs			Longer term exposure to residual oil and reintroduction of 'lingering oil' to surface compartment	Poor in storage areas between cobbles and boulders or when weathered and on rock surfaces		Unrecovered oil can strand on rock surfaces, within cobble / boulders. Long term storage with minimum degradation & potential for continued physical fouling, chemical release and exposure

Trajectory modelling

AIM: To conduct research investigations in ice modelling and integrate the results into established industry oil spill trajectory models

- Project recently initiated
- The Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre (NERSC), Bergen, Norway is the contractor for this project
- Develop a new sea regional scale ice model as well as a new very-high resolution model to simulate sea ice dynamics in the Marginal Ice Zone.
- NERSC outputs will be coupled into existing oil spill trajectory models





Mechanical recovery

AIM: To improve mechanical recovery of oil spills in arctic conditions

- Assessing the results of feasibility studies
- Alaska Clean Seas will summarize project findings
 and put them into operational perspective
- Report is expected 4Q/2014
- A new recovery device project is being evaluated.
- RFP expected to be issued for solicitation in 2Q/2014



Photo: SINTEF



WHAT'S NEXT?

- Basin calibration of three test tanks have been completed and over the next year, laboratory and further basin testing of dispersant effectiveness will be conducted at different test facilities (SL Ross, SINTEF, and Cedre)
- Research experiments will be conducted at the CRREL facility to test and evaluate the performance of various surface and subsea remote sensing technologies
- Research has been initiated to develop a new sea ice model that will be tested, evaluated and validated. Results will be integrated into established oil spill trajectory models
- Research is being initiated to improve our knowledge of herder fate, effects, and performance in ice affected waters



JIP CONTACT INFORMATION

- Joseph Mullin Programme Manager
 joseph.mullin@arcticresponsetechnology.org
- John Campbell JIP Administrator john.campbell@ogp.org.uk
- Jennifer Wyatt JIP Executive Committee Chair jennifer.wyatt@arcticresponsetechnology.org

Visit the programme website at: <u>www.arcticresponsetechnology.org</u>



CURRENT UNDERSTANDING – REMOTE SENSING



The industry has a range of airborne and surface imaging systems utilised from helicopters, fixed-wing aircraft, vessels and drilling platforms that can be used for ice conditions

22



CURRENT UNDERSTANDING - DISPERSANTS



Dispersants can work in the Arctic and will, under certain conditions, be more effective in the presence of ice than in open water

The presence of ice can increase the time window within which dispersants can be used effectively

There is need for a discussion around potential obstacles to achieving permission to conduct dispersant operation in ice-prone regions



CURRENT UNDERSTANDING – IN SITU BURN (ISB)



Technology exists to conduct controlled ISB of oil spilled in a wide variety of ice conditions

ISB is one of the response techniques with the highest potential for oil spill removal in arctic conditions and the industry should consider regulation that will support its use

Most of the perceived risks associated with burning oil are able to be mitigated





Joseph Mullin, Programme Manager – Joseph mullin@arcticresponsetechnology.org MEDIA ENQUIRIES: press@arcticresponsetechnology.org • tel. +44 (0)20 7413 3070